Professional Writing Rubric

Professional writing is effective communication of discipline-specific knowledge for a designated purpose and audience.

	Novice* (1)	Proficient (2)	Advanced** (3)	NA
Purpose: Accomplishes the goal of the assigned task using discipline specific knowledge Score (or NA):	 Fails to meet one or more assignment goals Some relevant audiences are not sufficiently addressed or writing is addressed to wrong audience Incompletely or incorrectly applies discipline specific knowledge 	 Adequately addresses minimum assignment requirements Adequately addresses target audience Appropriately and sufficiently incorporates discipline specific knowledge 	 Comprehensively meets the assignment goals, surpassing baseline expectations Target audience is addressed through communication reflecting thorough understanding of audience exigencies and values Applies, synthesizes, and/or expands discipline-specific knowledge 	Assignment does not address this dimension
Organization: Ideas are arranged logically, cohesively, and clearly. Score (or NA):	 Ineffective structure and arrangement of thesis/ideas, lack of focus Generally unified arguments/ideas but with gaps in cohesion Hierarchy of ideas is unclear Weak or ineffective transitions between ideas at sentence and paragraph level 	 Appropriate structure and arrangement of thesis/ideas Cohesive paragraphs that develop the central argument/ ideas Major ideas are presented clearly Adequate transitions between ideas at sentence and paragraph level 	 Logical structure and arrangement of thesis/ideas Cohesive paragraphs that convincingly develop the central argument/ ideas Major and supporting ideas are presented clearly Smooth transitions between ideas at sentence, paragraph, and section level 	Assignment does not address this dimension
Evidence: Selection and integration of high quality sources to support claims. Score (or NA):	 Generally supports claims but some evidence may not be relevant Discussion of sources in relation to claims is general, vague, or not relevant Unevenly integrates evidence Applies few source documentation conventions correctly (e.g. faulty use of quotations, citations, paraphrasing) 	 Selects relevant sources to support claims Discussion of sources adequately supports claims Effectively integrates evidence Applies some source documentation conventions correctly (e.g., some errors in some conventions). 	 Selects superior quality sources to support claims Discussion of sources provides clear and logical support for the claims Comprehensively and effectively integrates high-quality evidence Applies source documentation conventions correctly (e.g., few errors) 	Assignment does not address this dimension

	Novice* (1)	Proficient (2)	Advanced** (3)	NA
Analysis/Interpretation: Evaluation, integration, and synthesis of information/knowledge. Score (or NA):	 Fails to consider strengths and limitations of perspectives or approach is superficial Irrelevant or poorly integrated information/knowledge Incomplete, or uneven, discussion of outcomes/findings Only the most obvious implications are noted Misuse of, or reliance on, poorly collected data leads to faulty conclusion(s) 	 Discusses strengths and limitations of varied, but not all, perspectives Sufficient integration of relevant information/knowledge, but may lack a unifying theme Adequate discussion of outcomes/findings without addressing overall significance Important implications are noted but may not be thoroughly addressed Data are used correctly to reach proper conclusion(s) 	 Discusses strengths and limitations of all relevant perspectives Seamless integration of relevant information/knowledge into a coherent or new whole Thorough discussion of outcomes/findings and their significance Insightful and thorough discussion of implications Thorough analysis of all relevant data to reach well-reasoned and accurate conclusion(s) 	Assignment does not address this dimension
Language Conventions: Format, style and specialized vocabulary that constitute standardized written communication in a discipline. Score (or NA):	 Inconsistently follows writing style conventions Insufficiently or incorrectly applies discipline-specific terminology Supporting data and visuals are absent, extraneous or incorrectly presented Mechanics (grammar, syntax, punctuation, spelling) follow rules of Standard English** 	 Mostly follows writing style conventions Mostly applies discipline-specific terminology correctly Supporting data and visuals are generally correct and appropriate Mechanics (grammar, syntax, punctuation, spelling) follow rules of Standard English 	 Clearly and concisely follows writing style conventions Applies discipline-specific terminology correctly and consistently Supporting data and visuals are correct and appropriate Mechanics (grammar, syntax, punctuation, spelling) follow rules of Standard English 	Assignment does not address this dimension

^{*} Novice is for graduate student/graduate level writing. To achieve the novice level, work must exhibit mastery of basic writing mechanics.

** Advanced represents standards for an emerging professional.